United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,600	07/01/2003	Neil T. Parkin	011068-015-999	4526
	7590 07/30/2007 JONES DAY		EXAMINER	
222 East 41st Street			PARKIN, JEFFREY S	
New York, NY 10017-6702			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	;	07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/612,600	PARKIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		correspondence address				
	VIC CET TO EVOIDE 40 MONE	FLVC) OD TUUDTY (20) DAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRUCTION OF THE MAILING DESTRUCTION OF THE MONTHS From the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. itimely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	<u> April 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,8-17,20 and 21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6, 7, 18, and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	**				
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		,				
Attachment(s)	🗖 .					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LI Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Serial No.: 10/612,600 Docket No.: 011068-015-999
Applicants: Parkin, N. T., et al. Filing Date: 07/01/2003

Detailed Office Action

Status of the Claims

Acknowledgement is hereby made of receipt and entry of the communication filed 18 April, 2007. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 18, and 19 are currently under examination and claims 5, 8-17, 20, and 21 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Two separate requirements are set forth under this statute: (1) the claims must set forth the subject matter that applicants regard as their invention; and (2) the claims must particularly point out and distinctly define the metes and bounds of the subject matter that will be protected by the patent grant. The claims reference a particular phenotype (e.g., hypersusceptibility to a protease inhibitor) but fail to set forth anv genotypic characteristics that are associated with phenotype. For instance, which mutations at amino acid position

16 are associated with the desired phenotype? The claims are also vague and indefinite for failing to provide a reference isolate when referring to particular amino acid locations. displays considerable genotypic/phenotypic heterogeneity which frequently results in addition/deletions in the interest. Accordingly, in order to ensure that both applicants and one practicing the invention are referencing the same amino acid location, a prototypical reference isolate should included (i.e., wherein said amino acid numbering scheme is based upon the isolate HXB2). Appropriate correction is required.

35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The previous rejection of claims 1-4, 6, 7, 18, and 19 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Parkin *et al.* (2000), is hereby withdrawn in response to applicants' arguments.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ziermann et al. (2000). Ziermann and colleagues provide a method for assessing the likelihood of HIV-1 being hypersensitive to treatment with amprenavir (APV/AMP) (see Table 1, p. 4415). The authors detected multiple mutations (e.g., K20T/R, L33I, M36I/V, V77I)

that were associated with hypersusceptibility to treatment. The study also involved patients that had undergone prior treatment with another antiviral. Thus, this teaching meets all of the claimed limitations.

35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Scope of Enablement

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \S 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The claims are broadly directed toward a method for assessing whether or not an HIV-1 variant displays an increased sensitivity to treatment with a protease inhibitor. The broadest claims fail to specify the protease inhibitor of interest or fail to specify which precise mutations, or combinations of mutations, associated with the desired phenotype. The disclosure provides limited list of mutations associated with the desired phenotype (see Table 1, p. 41). For example amino acid changes at positions 20, 36, 39, 65, 69, 77, and 89 were associated with increased susceptibility to amprenavir (APV AMP). or

Appropriately drafted claim language clearly specifying the genotypic/phenotypic characteristics of the viral isolate would be acceptable (i.e., wherein said virus displays increased susceptibility to treatment with amprenavir (APV) and displays one or more of the following mutations: M36I, R41K, etc.). However, the claims are not enabled for the full-breadth of the claimed invention.

The legal considerations that qovern enablement determinations pertaining to undue experimentation have been clearly set forth. Enzo Biochem, Inc., 52 U.S.P.O.2d 1129 (C.A.F.C. 1999). In re Wands, 8 U.S.P.Q.2d 1400 (C.A.F.C. Ex parte Forman 230 U.S.P.Q. 546 (PTO Bd. Pat. App. Int., 1986). The courts concluded that several inquiries should be considered when making such assessments including the quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance presented, the presence or absence of working examples, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in that art, predictability or unpredictability of the art and the breadth of In re Rainer, 52 C.C.P.A. 1593, 347 F.2d 574, 146 the claims. U.S.P.Q. 218 (1965). The disclosure fails to provide adequate guidance pertaining to a number of these considerations.

The disclosure fails to provide adequate guidance pertaining to the genotypic/phenotypic characteristics of any given viral isolate. The claims simply recite amino acids of interest without providing specific genotypic changes. It appears that specific mutations or groups of mutations are required for the desired phenotype (i.e., K20T, M36I for increased susceptibility to AMP). Moreover, these changes differ from protease inhibitor to protease inhibitor. The aforementioned virus displays increased resistance to treatment with indiinavir (IDV) and

U.S. Serial No.: 10/612,600 Applicants: Parkin, N. T., et al.

nelfinavir (NFV). Thus, a knowledge of the precise genotypic/phenotypic characteristics are required to practice the claimed invention.

The prior art is unpredictable and teaches that génotypic changes impart different phenotypic properties upon any given isolate. Ziermann et al. (2000) demonstrate that one set of mutations may simultaneously make a given viral isolate both more susceptible and less susceptible to PR treatment depending upon the PR inhibitor administered The disclosure also provides similar (see Table 1, p. 4415). Table findings (see 1, p. 41). Therefore, genotypic/phenotypic properties of any given viral isolate cannot be determined a prior but only through considerable experimentation.

Accordingly, when all the aforementioned factors are considered in toto, it would clearly require undue experimentation from the skilled artisan to practice the claimed invention.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 10:30 AM to 9:00 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce R. Campell, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0974. status inquiries to the Technology Center receptionist at (571) 272-1600. Informal communications may be submitted to the Examiner's RightFAX account at (571) 273-0908.

Applicants are reminded that the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) requires most patent related correspondence to be: a) faxed to the Central FAX number (571-273-8300) (updated as of July 15, 2005), b) hand carried or delivered to the Customer Service Window (now located at the

U.S. Serial No.: 10/612,600 Applicants: Parkin, N. T., et al.

Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), c) mailed to the mailing address set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.1 (e.g., P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450), or d) transmitted to the Office using the Office's Electronic Filing System. This notice replaces all prior Office notices specifying a specific fax number or hand carry address for certain patent related correspondence. For further information refer to the Updated Notice of Centralized Delivery and Facsimile Transmission Policy for Patent Related Correspondence, and Exceptions Thereto, 1292 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 186 (March 29, 2005).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully,

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1648

23 July, 2007